

Is Reading Dead?

0 MIN · YOUTUBE · [HTTPS://WWW.YOUTUBE.COM/WATCH?V=CKN2357LZRC](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CKN2357LZRC)
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cKN2357LZRc>

SUMMARY

The discussion emphasizes the inefficiency of reading books for information digestion, yet acknowledges the value of non-fiction books as a concentrated source of well-developed ideas. While reading may be challenging, the depth and distillation of knowledge in books can offer significant learning benefits.

- *Reading is often seen as an inefficient way to learn.*
- *Non-fiction books encapsulate years of thought and research.*
- *The distillation of ideas in books can provide valuable insights.*
- *Investing time in reading can lead to meaningful learning experiences.*
- *Despite the challenges, books remain a potent educational tool.*

Books are just not an efficient way to digest information. Certainly not reading a book. Reading sucks. It's hard.
>> You're right. It's just not an efficient form of learning. However, having said that, a book, especially a non-fiction book, is typically like ideas and thoughts from someone that's that have been percolating for years and years and years. And they distill them down into one thing. That's why books are still such a great form of learning if you will put the time in to do it.

>>